

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/960,097	09/21/2001	Jawahar C. Parekh	GC-REH 017	5070		
75	590 09/16/2003					
Arthur J. Plantamura			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Reheis, Inc. c/o General Chemical Corporation			MULCAHY	MULCAHY, PETER D		
90 East Halsey Rd. Parsippany, NJ 07054			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
i disippany, 143	07031		1713	3		
		DATE MAIL ED. 00/16/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 00/16/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i i					ASS				
		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/960,097		PAREKH ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Peter D. Mul		1713					
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pp ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprivation of the provision o	l. 1.136(a). In no event, I eply within the statutory d will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	1 September 20	<u>01</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	This action is no	n-final.						
3)  Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims				emerits is				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consid	deration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requ	irement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by the Examir								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		-						
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examine	r.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* (	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)[] /	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a)  The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:								
Attachmen	nt(s)								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC					

Serial No. 09/960,097

Art Unit 1713

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyata et al., U.S. Patent 2,484,762 or Miyata, U.S. Patent 4,675,356.

The cited patents show methods of inhibiting corrosion of olefin polymers. See specifically the Abstract of the '762 patent as well as the '356 patent at column 1. These patents show the utilization of hydrotalcite compounds which are formulated from elements as well as molar ratios which render obvious that as claimed by applicant. Specific reference is made to the '762 patent at column 5 lines 35+ as well as column 10 and Table 1 contained therein. The only difference seen between this disclosure and the instantly claimed invention is the selection of the substituents so as to render obvious the claimed substituents. The Examiner maintains that this patent provides one of ordinary skill in the art sufficient direction so as to

Serial No. 09/960,097

Art Unit 1713

formulate a hydrotalcite compound having the molar ratios as instantly claimed. Each of applicants' instantly claimed substituents is shown and the art is enabling so as to provide one of ordinary skill in the art a reasonable expectation of success when formulating such a hydrotalcite.

The 356 patent is extensive as to its disclosure as to the compounds utilized for reducing the corrosion causing tendencies of synthetic olefin polymers. See specifically columns 3-6.

More specifically, the Examples at column 15 show a hydrotalcite compound which renders obvious that as claimed by applicants.

Once again, the substituents as claimed are suggested within the prior art and one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in utilizing the hydrotalcites as instantly claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc

PETER D. MULCARY PRIMARY EXAMINER